arXiv:1006.2169v2 [math.FA] 8 Jul 2010

SPECTRAL CRITERIA FOR SOLUTIONS OF EVOLUTION EQUATIONS AND COMMENTS ON REDUCED SPECTRA

Bolis Basit and Hans Günzler

Abstract. We revisit the notion of reduced spectra $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi)$ for bounded measurable functions $\phi \in L^{\infty}(J,\mathbb{X})$, $\mathcal{F} \subset L^{1}_{loc}(J,\mathbb{X})$. We show that it can not be obtained via Carleman spectra unless $\phi \in BUC(J,\mathbb{X})$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset BUC(J,\mathbb{X})$. In section 3, we give two examples which seem to be of independent interest for spectral theory. In section 4, we prove a spectral criteria for bounded mild solutions of evolution equation (*) $\frac{du(t)}{dt} = Au(t) + \phi(t)$, $u(0) = x \in \mathbb{X}$, $t \in J$, where A is a closed linear operator on $\mathbb{X}$ and $\phi \in L^{\infty}(J,\mathbb{X})$ where $J \in \{\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}\}$.

As the author realized in his "Added to the proofs" in [15, p. 1272], many of his results are erroneous without additional assumptions. Since his additional assumption (2.1) (below) is far too restrictive and he does not point out what statements are affected and how they should be modified, this "Added to the proofs" is not really helpful. However, in his corrigendum [16] submitted to JDE, the author stated clearly that all his claims in [15] on the non-uniformly continuity are omitted and the uniform continuity of all functions in [15] is assumed.

In this note we show that there is a misconception in [15] which we believe resulted from some other hidden misconceptions in previous works. We hope that this note will help to understand better the concept of reduced spectra. In section 2, we give some comments (A)-(D) showing that the main classes of almost periodic and asymptotically almost periodic functions do not satisfy (2.1). In section 3 we give two Examples supporting our arguments in the comments. Example 3.1 was first used by Levitan [17, pp. 144, 212-213]. Here we give a new treatment of

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47D06, 43A60 Secondary 43A99, 47A10.

Key words and phrases. reduced spectrum, almost periodic, almost automorphic, evolution equations.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

example 3.1 to show that ϕ is a Bochner almost automorphic function without using the result of Levitan [17, p. 144] that ϕ is a Levitan almost periodic function. Example 3.2 is very important because it shows in particular that there is $\psi \in AP$ with derivative ψ' continuous and bounded but ψ' is not even recurrent or Poisson stable (see the definitions (3.1), (3.2) in §3). This seems to be a missing example in the literature. In section 4, we prove a spectral criteria for the bounded mild solutions of the inhomogeneous abstract Cauchy problem

(*) $\frac{du(t)}{dt} = Au(t) + \phi(t)$, $u(0) = x \in \mathbb{X}$, $t \in J$,

where A is a closed linear operator on $\mathbb{X}$ and $\phi \in L^\infty(J, \mathbb{X})$, $J \in \{\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}\}$.

This criteria is useful particularly in the case when ϕ is not necessary uniformly continuous but u is uniformly continuous.

§1. Notation, Definitions and Preliminaries

In the following $J, \tilde{J} \in \{\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}\}$, where $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\mathbb{X}$ is a complex Banach space, $L(\mathbb{X})$ is the Banach space of linear bounded operators $B : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{X}$; the elements of all the other spaces ($\subset \mathbb{X}^J$, not $\mathbb{Y}$ of §2 (B),(C)) considered are functions $\phi : J \to \mathbb{X}$ (not equivalence classes), the $=, +$, scalar multiplication are pointwise on J (not a.e.), correspondingly $L^\infty(J, \mathbb{X})$ has the norm $||\phi||_\infty :=$ sup $\{||f(t)|| : t \in J\}$ (not essential supremum), $BC(J, \mathbb{X})$ is the Banach space of $\mathbb{X}$-valued bounded continuous functions on J, $BUC(J, \mathbb{X})$ is the Banach space of $\mathbb{X}$-valued bounded uniformly continuous functions on J, $AP(\mathbb{X}) := AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ is the Banach space of $\mathbb{X}$-valued almost periodic functions on $\mathbb{R}$, $BAA(\mathbb{X})$ (respectively $VAA(\mathbb{X})$) is the Banach space of $\mathbb{X}$-valued Bochner (respectively Veech) almost automorphic functions on $\mathbb{R}$ [12, Definition 2], [19, Definition 1.2.1, p. 722], [7, p. 430], $C_0(J, \mathbb{X})$ is the Banach space of $\mathbb{X}$-valued continuous functions on J vanishing at infinity, all with sup-norm $|| \cdot ||_\infty$. The Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing C^∞ functions on $\mathbb{R}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. The Fourier transform of $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ will be denoted by $\hat{f}(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\lambda t} f(t)\, dt$, γ_λ respectively $\mathfrak{g}$ will denote the functions $\gamma_\lambda(t) = e^{i\lambda t}$ respectively $\mathfrak{g}(t) = e^{it^2}$, $t, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

For the convenience of the reader we collect some further definitions, assumptions and needed earlier results, for $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{X}^J$.

Invariant : $\phi_a \in \mathcal{F}$ if $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ with *translate* $\phi_a(t) := \phi(t+a)$.

Positive-invariant: translate $\phi_a \in \mathcal{F}$ if $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ and $0 \leq a < \infty$.

$BUC-invariant$: $\phi \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ and $\phi|\, J \in \mathcal{F}$ imply $\phi_a|\, J \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

$Uniformly\ closed$: $\phi_n \in \mathcal{F}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\phi_n \to \phi$ uniformly on J implies $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$.

(1.1) $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{F}(J, \mathbb{X}) = \{\psi \in L^1_{loc}(J, \mathbb{X}) : M_h\psi \in \mathcal{F},\ h > 0\}$,

$\mathcal{M}_*\mathcal{F}(J, \mathbb{X}) = \{\psi \in L^1_{loc}(J, \mathbb{X}) : (M_h\Psi)|\, J \in \mathcal{F},\ 0 \neq h \in \mathbb{R}\}$,

where $\Psi := \psi$ on J, $\Psi = 0$ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus J$,

(1.2) $M_h\Psi(t) = (1/h)\int_0^h \Psi(t+s)\, ds$, $0 \neq h \in \mathbb{R}$, $M_h\psi = M_h\Psi|\, J$, $h > 0$.

(1.3) (Δ) : $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(J, X)$, $\Delta_h\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ for $h > 0$ implies $\phi - M_k\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ for $k > 0$.

(1.4) $\mathcal{F}$ linear $\subset L^1_{loc}(J, \mathbb{X})$, $\mathcal{F}$ uniformly closed, $\mathcal{F}\, BUC$-invariant ([9, (3.1)]).

(1.5) (i) $\gamma_\lambda\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ for each $\gamma_\lambda(t) = e^{i\,\lambda t}$, $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ ([3, (l_2), p. 60]),

(ii) $\mathcal{F}$ contains all constant functions ([3, (l_3), p. 60]),

(iii) $B \circ \phi \in \mathcal{F}$ for each $B \in L(\mathbb{X})$, $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ ([3, (l_5), p. 60]).

The *spectrum* of an $\phi \in L^\infty(J, \mathbb{X})$ *with respect* to a class $\mathcal{F} \subset L^1_{loc}(\tilde{J}, \mathbb{X})$ with $\tilde{J} \subset J$ is defined by ([2, Definition 4.1.2, p. 20], [4, p.118], [9, Definition 3.1], [13, Definition 3], $\hat{f}$ = Fourier transform)

(1.6) $$sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi) := sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\Phi) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}), \Phi * f|\tilde{J} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ implies } \hat{f}(\lambda) = 0\}.$$

Here $\Phi = \phi$ on $\tilde{J}$, $\Phi = 0$ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{J}$. $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi)$ is always closed in $\mathbb{R}$. For $J = \mathbb{R} \neq \tilde{J}$ the $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi)$ of (1.6) coincides with the definitions in [2], [4], [9], [13] by (1.7).

(1.7) If $\mathcal{F} \subset L^1_{loc}(J, \mathbb{X})$ satisfies (1.4) and $\phi \in L^\infty(J, \mathbb{X})$, then

$sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi) = sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\psi)$ for any $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ with $\psi = \phi$ on J.

([4, Lemma 1.1 (C)]).

(1.8) If $\mathcal{F} \subset L^1_{loc}(J,\mathbb{X})$ satisfies (1.4), $\phi \in L^\infty(J,\mathbb{X})$, $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})$ and Φ is defined as in (1.6), then $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\Phi * f) \subset sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi) \cap$ supp $\hat{f}$.

([4, Corollary 2.3 (C)]]).

(1.9) If $\mathcal{F} \subset BUC(J,\mathbb{X})$ satisfies (1.4), then $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{MF}$

([6, Proposition 2.2]).

(1.10) If $\mathcal{F} \subset L^1_{loc}(J,\mathbb{X})$ satisfies (Δ) and $r\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}$ for real $r > 0$, then

$$\mathcal{MF} \subset \mathcal{F} + \mathcal{F}',$$

where $\mathcal{F}'(J,\mathbb{X}) = \{\psi : \psi = \phi' \text{a.e. with}\, \phi \in \mathcal{F}(J,\mathbb{X}) \cap W^{1,1}_{loc}(J,\mathbb{X})\}$.

([8, Proposition 1.1, p. 38]).

(1.11) If $\mathcal{F}$ is convex uniformly closed $\subset BUC(J,\mathbb{X})$, then $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies (Δ).

([6, p. 1012, Proposition 3.1], [7, Theorem 2.4, p. 428]).

(1.12) (Δ) for linear positive invariant $\mathcal{F}$ implies $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{MF}$.

(1.13) If $\mathcal{F} \in \{AP(\mathbb{X}), VAA(\mathbb{X}), C_0(J,\mathbb{X})\}$, then $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{MF}$ and (Δ).

See (1.11), (1.12), [7, Proposition 3.5 (ii), p. 431].

(1.14) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a positive-invariant closed linear subspace $\subset BC(J,\mathbb{X})$. If $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ and ϕ' is uniformly continuous, then $\phi' \in \mathcal{F}$.

([2, Proposition 1.4.1], [6, Proposition 2.9]).

(1.15) Let $A : D(A) \to \mathbb{X}$ be a closed linear operator with linear domain $D(A) \subset \mathbb{X}$. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval (bounded or unbounded) and let $h : I \to \mathbb{X}$ be a Bochner integrable function with $h(t) \in D(A)$ for each $t \in I$. Suppose that $A \circ h$ is Bochner integrable. Then $\int_I h(s)\, ds \in D(A)$ and $A \int_I h(s)\, ds = \int_I Ah(s)\, ds$.

[1, Proposition 1.1.7, p. 11].

Proposition 1.1. *For any* $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{X}^{\tilde{J}}$, $\phi \in L^\infty(J,\mathbb{X})$, *if* $\phi \in \mathcal{MF}$ *then* $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi) = \emptyset$.

Proof. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, define $h = \pi/|\lambda|$ if $\lambda \neq 0$, else $h = 1$; then the step function $f = (1/h)\chi_{(-h,0)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ and with $\Phi := 0$ outside $J, \Phi = \phi$ on J, one has $f * \Phi | J = M_h \phi \in \mathcal{F}$, with $\hat{f}(\lambda) \neq 0$, so $\lambda \notin sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\Phi)$. It follows $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\Phi) = \emptyset$ and so

$sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi) = \emptyset$ by (1.6). □

Corollary 1.2. *If* $\phi \in \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{MF}$ *and* $\phi \in L^\infty(J,\mathbb{X})$*, then* $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi) = \emptyset$*. This is false without* $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{MF}$ *by* $\phi = \mathfrak{g}$ *and* $\mathcal{F} = \mathfrak{g} \cdot AP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})$*.*

Proposition 1.3. *Let* $\phi \in L^\infty(J,\mathbb{X})$ *and let* Φ *be defined as in (1.6). Let* $\mathcal{F} \subset L^1_{loc}(J,\mathbb{X})$ *satisfy (1.4) and* $\lambda_0 \in sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi)$*.*

(i) There is $h_0 > 0$ *such that* $\lambda_0 \in sp_{\mathcal{F}}(M_{h_0}\phi)$*.*

(ii) For any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})$ *with* $\hat{f}(\lambda_0) \neq 0$*, one has* $\lambda_0 \in sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\Phi * f)$*.*

Proof. (i) With (1.7), this is a special case of [9,(3.11), (4.1)].

(ii) Assuming $\lambda_0 \notin sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\Phi * f)$, there is $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})$ with $\hat{h}(\lambda_0) \neq 0$ and $\Phi * (f * h)|J = (\Phi * f) * h|J \in \mathcal{F}$, with $\widehat{(f * h)}(\lambda_0) \neq 0$; this implies $\lambda_0 \notin sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi)$, against the assumption. □

In the following we identify $L^1(I,\mathbb{C})$ respectively $\mathcal{F} \subset L^\infty(I,\mathbb{X})$ with the subspace $\{f \in L^1(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}) : f(t) = 0, t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus I\}$ respectively $\{\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{X}) : \phi| I \in \mathcal{F}, \phi = 0, t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus I\}$. Here $I \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_-\}$, $\mathbb{R}_- = (-\infty, 0]$.

Proposition 1.4. *Let* $\mathcal{F}$ *be a linear uniformly closed subset of* $L^\infty(J,\mathbb{X})$*. Then in (a), (b) the conditions (i), (ii) are equivalent.*

(a) (i) $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{MF}$*, (ii)* $\mathcal{F} * L^1(\mathbb{R}_-,\mathbb{C})|\, J \subset \mathcal{F}$*.*

(b) (i) $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{M}_*\mathcal{F}$*, (ii)* $\mathcal{F} * L^1(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})|\, J \subset \mathcal{F}$*.*

(c) If $\mathcal{F}$ *satisfies even (1.4), then (a)(i) is also equivalent with (b)(ii).*

Proof. (a) *(i)* ⇒ *(ii)*: With $\Phi = \phi$ on J, $\Phi = 0$ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus J$, we have

(1.16) $M_h\phi = (1/h)(\Phi * \chi_{(-h,0)})|\, J$, where $\chi_{(a,b)} := 1$ on (a,b), $:= 0$ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus J$.

As $M_h\phi = (\Phi * s_h)|\, J \in \mathcal{F}$, $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$, $h > 0$, $s_h = (1/h)\chi_{(-h,0)}$, it follows $\Phi * \xi|\, J \in \mathcal{F}$ for all step functions ξ on $\mathbb{R}_-$; since these are dense in $L^1(\mathbb{R}_-,\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is uniformly closed, (ii) follows.

(ii) ⇒ *(i)* follows by (1.16) and $s_h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_-,\mathbb{C})$ for each $h > 0$.

(b)The proof is similar to part (a).

(c) By (a)(i), (1.16) and the property that $\mathcal{F}$ is BUC-invariant, one has

$(M_h\phi)_t|\, J = (\phi * s_h)_t|\, J = \phi * (s_h)_t|\, J \in \mathcal{F}$, $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$, $h > 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$

and so $\phi * \zeta|\, J \in \mathcal{F}$ for all step functions ζ on $\mathbb{R}$; since these are dense in $L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is uniformly closed, (b)(ii) follows.

$(b)(ii) \Rightarrow (a)(i)$ follows by (1.16). $\square$

Proposition 1.5. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a linear uniformly closed subset of $L^\infty(J, \mathbb{X})$.*

(a) $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{F}$ implies $\mathcal{F} \cap BUC(J, \mathbb{X})$ is positive invariant.

(b) $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{M}_*\mathcal{F}$ implies $\mathcal{F} \cap BUC(J, \mathbb{X})$ is BUC-invariant.

(c) If $(\mathcal{F} * E)|\, J \subset \mathcal{F}$ for some dense subset $E \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ implies $\mathcal{F} \cap BUC(J, \mathbb{X})$ is BUC-invariant.

Proof. Let $\phi \in L^\infty(J, \mathbb{X})$. Then

$$(1.17) \qquad M_{h+k}\phi = \tfrac{h}{h+k} M_h\phi + \tfrac{k}{h+k}(M_k\phi)_h,\ h + k \neq 0,\ h \neq 0,\ k \neq 0.$$

(a) If $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$, $h+k > 0$ and $h > 0$, then $M_{h+k}\phi$, $M_h\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ since $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{F}$. It follows $(M_k\phi)_h \in \mathcal{F}$, $k + h > 0$, $h > 0$ by linearity of $\mathcal{F}$ and (1.17). As $\lim_{k\to 0} M_k\phi_h = \phi_h$ uniformly on J, $\phi \in (\mathcal{F} \cap BUC(J, \mathbb{X}))$, we get $\phi_h \in (\mathcal{F} \cap BUC(J, \mathbb{X}))$ for each $h > 0$ and $\phi \in (\mathcal{F} \cap BUC(J, \mathbb{X}))$. This gives (a).

(b) This follows as in (a) from (1.17), $0 \neq h \in \mathbb{R}$ fixed, $k \to 0$.

(c) The assumptions imply $\mathcal{F} * L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})|\, J \subset \mathcal{F}$. So, (c) follows by Proposition 1.4 (b) and part (b). $\square$

An "inverse" of Proposition 1.5 is false, $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{F}$ does not imply BUC-invariance:

Example 1.6. *$\mathcal{F} = \mathfrak{g}AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ is linear, uniformly closed, invariant, $\subset BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$, but $\mathcal{F} \not\subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{F}$ ($\mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{M}C_0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$, $(\mathfrak{g}AP) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) = \{0\}$). See also Example 4.4 (ii).*

Example 1.7. *$\mathcal{F} := \{\phi \in C_0(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) : \phi = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}_+\}$ is linear , uniformly closed, positive invariant, $\subset BUC(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$, with $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{MF}$, but $\mathcal{F}$ is not invariant.*
Here $\mathcal{F} \not\subset \mathcal{M}_*\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{M}_*\mathcal{F}$ strictly $\subset \mathcal{MF}$.

§2. Explicit comments

In the following we give some comments which had been written before the author kindly informed us about his corrigendum [16]. So, the comments (A), (B), (D) are concerned with the now to be omitted false results about the cases of non-uniformly continuous functions.

(A) The induced operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ of [15, Definition 2.6, respectively p. 1263 for $J = \mathbb{R}_+$] is well defined for a class $\mathcal{F} \subset BC(J,\mathbb{X})$ satisfying Condition F or Condition F^+ of [15, Definitions 2.3, 3.1] if and only if

$$\mathcal{D}(D(\mathcal{D})) \cap \mathcal{F}) := \{f' : f \in C^1(J,\mathbb{X}) \cap \mathcal{F}, f' \text{ bounded}\} \subset \mathcal{F}. \tag{2.1}$$

This is possible if $\mathcal{F} = \{0\}$ or $\mathcal{F} = BC(J,\mathbb{X})$. We are not aware of other interesting classes satisfying Condition F or F^+ and (2.1):

(B) If $\mathcal{F} \in \{BUC(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}), AP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}), BAA(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})\}$ respectively $\mathcal{F} = C_0(J,\mathbb{C})$, then $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies [15, Definition 2.3] respectively [15, Definition 3.1], but does not satisfy (2.1) and so the operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is not well defined by part (A):

Indeed, if $\mathcal{F} \in \{BUC(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}), AP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}), BAA(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})\}$, $\mathbb{Y} = BC(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})/\mathcal{F}$, $\Phi(t) = \int_0^t \psi(s)\,ds$ with ψ of Example 3.2 below, then $\Phi \in \mathcal{F} \cap D(\mathcal{D})$ but $\Phi' = \psi$ bounded $\notin \mathcal{F}$.

If $\mathcal{F} = C_0(J,\mathbb{C})$, $\mathbb{Y} = BC(J,\mathbb{C})/\mathcal{F}$, $\phi(t) = \int_0^1 \mathfrak{g}(t+s)\,ds$, then $\phi \in \mathcal{F} \cap D(\mathcal{D})$ but ϕ' is bounded with $\phi'(\sqrt{2\pi n}) \not\to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Here $\mathfrak{g}(t) = e^{it^2}$.

If $\mathcal{F} \neq \{0\}$ satisfies Condition F of [15], then $AP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{X}) \subset \mathcal{F}$ and if $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies Condition F^+, then $C_0(J,\mathbb{X}) \subset \mathcal{F}$. So, either $\mathcal{F}$ does not satisfy (2.1) or one can show that

$$(\mathcal{M}AP \cap BC(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{X})) \subset \mathcal{F} \text{ respectively } (\mathcal{M}C_0(J,\mathbb{X}) \cap BC(J,\mathbb{X})) \subset \mathcal{F}. \tag{2.2}$$

Indeed, by the statements (1.13),(1.11), (1.10), we have $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F} + \mathcal{F}'$ for $\mathcal{F} = AP$ or C_0, implying (2.2).

Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is not well defined for the known classes of interest, Definition 2.8 of [15] makes no sense if $\mathcal{F} \neq \{0\}$. So with the approach of [15] the reduced spectra $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi)$, $sp^+_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi)$ of [15, Definitions 2.8, 3.3] are not defined. Therefore the inclusions [15, (4.3), (4.9)] have no meaning. However, see Theorem 4.3 below.

(C) If $\mathcal{F} \subset BUC(J, \mathbb{X})$ satisfies (1.4), $\mathbb{Y} = BUC(J, \mathbb{X})/\mathcal{F}$ and $D(\mathcal{D}) = \{\psi \in BUC(J, \mathbb{X}) : \psi' \in BUC(J, \mathbb{X})\}$, then the induced operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is well defined by (1.14). In this case [15, Definitions 2.8, 3.3] for $\phi \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ give the Carleman spectrum of the class $\tilde{\phi}$ = the Beurling spectrum (see [9, (3.3)]), coinciding with the usual $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi)$ defined in (1.5) by a result of Chill and Fasangova (see [13], [9, Theorem 3.10]) and [1, Proposition 4.8.4, p. 321].

With Examples 3.1, 3.2, the assumptions (1.4) are optimal and not " very strict" as the author claimed in [15, p. 1252, 1263], $BUC(J, \mathbb{X})$, $BC(J, \mathbb{X})$ satisfy (1.5). Contrary to the footnotes 1), 3) of [15, p. 1250, 1263], $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi) = \emptyset$ is admissible in [9]. In [16] the author realized that his approach works only for classes $\mathcal{F} \subset BUC(J, \mathbb{X})$ satisfying Condition F or F^+. By Proposition 1.4 (c) and [11, Proposition 2.1], it follows that if $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies Condition F or Condition F^+, then $\mathcal{F}$ is BUC-invariant. This means that if $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies Condition F, then $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies (1.4) and (1.5) and if $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies Condition F^+, then $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies (1.4), (1.5)(i), (iii).

In (D) we mean results of [15] but we use $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi)$ as defined in (1.6), (1.7).

(D) Corollary 2.20 as stated is not correct, already for $\mathcal{F} = AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$: This $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies Condition F of [15, Definition 2.3], but by Examples 3.1, 3.2 below there exists $\psi \in BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ with $sp_{AP}(\psi) = \emptyset$, but $\psi \notin AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$. Theorem 2.25, Corollaries 2.27 and 2.29 are not correct for sp_{AP} also by Example 3.1 below ; for $sp_{\mathcal{F}}$ with $\mathcal{F} = \{0\}$ this is open. Corollary 2.31 is not correct by Example 3.2 below.

Theorem 3.6, Corollaries 3.8, 3.9 are not true: If $\phi = \int_0^1 \mathfrak{g}(\cdot + s)\, ds \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})$

(see part (B)), then $\phi' = (\mathfrak{g}(\cdot+1) - \mathfrak{g}) \in BC(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})$ and $sp_{C_0}(\phi') = \emptyset$ by Proposition 1.1 but $\phi' \notin C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})$.

§3. Two examples

Here follow the two examples used to refute many of the results of [15]. Here we give a direct proof for example 3.1. Example 3.2 is instructive for various conclusions concerning wide classes of almost periodicity (for example almost periodic (ap), almost automorphic (aa), Levitan almost periodic (L-ap), recurrent and Poisson stable functions). For the benefit of the reader we give the relevant definitions.

(3.1) By a *recurrent* function ϕ we mean $\phi \in REC(\mathbb{R}, X) := \{\phi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X}) : E(\phi, 1/n, n)$ relatively dense in $\mathbb{R}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, with

$E(\phi, \varepsilon, n) := \{\tau \in \mathbb{R} : ||\phi(t+\tau) - \phi(t)|| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for all } |t| \leq n\}$.

$E(\phi, 1/n, n)$ is *relatively dense* means there is a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $K + E(\phi, 1/n, n) = \mathbb{R}$ (see [18, Definition 2, p. 80], [7, p. 427]).

(3.2) An $\phi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ is *Poisson stable* if it has at least one sequence $(t_m) \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $t_m \to \infty$ such that $\phi_{t_m} \to \phi$ locally uniformly in $\mathbb{R}$ (see [18, Definition 1, p. 80]).

Example 3.1. *The function* $\phi = \sin \frac{1}{p} \in BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ *with* $p(t) = 2 + \cos t + \cos \sqrt{2}t$ *is Stepanoff almost periodic* S^1*-*$AP \subset \mathcal{M}AP$ *and* $sp_{AP}(\phi) = \emptyset$ *but* $\phi \notin AP = AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$*. See [4, p. 119, (1.5), p. 118 above (1.2), (3.5), (3.8)] for the definitions. This* ϕ *is also Bochner almost automorphic (B-aa) [12] and so Veech almost automorphic (V-aa) [19] and L-ap [7, p. 430, (3.3)] (see also [4, p. 119] and references therein).*

Proof. First we show that $\phi \in S^1$-AP. Set $\phi_n(t) := sin\frac{1}{2+ \max\{\cos t, -1+\frac{1}{n}\} + \cos\sqrt{2}t}$. Then $\phi_n \in AP$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi_n(t) = \phi(t)$ if $\max\{\cos t, -1 + \frac{1}{n}\} = \cos t$. It follows $\int_0^{2\pi} |\phi_n(t+s) - \phi(t+s)|\, ds \leq 2\mu(E_n^t)$, where μ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$ and $E_r^t = \{\tau \in [t, t+2\pi] : \max\{\cos\tau, -1+\frac{1}{r}\} = -1 + \frac{1}{r}, r \geq 1\}$. Then

$\mu(E_n^t) = \mu(E_n^0) = \mu([\pi - \delta_n, \pi + \delta_n])$ with $\cos \delta_n = 1 - 1/n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\delta_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. It follows $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^1 |\phi_n(t+s) - \phi(t+s)|\, ds = 0$ uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and implies $\phi \in S^1\text{-}AP$ (see [4, p. 132]). So $M_h\phi(\cdot) = (1/h)\int_0^h \phi(\cdot + s)\, ds \in AP$ for each $h > 0$ by [4, (3.8)]. By Proposition 1.1, one gets $sp_{AP}(\phi) = \emptyset$.

Now, we show that ϕ is not uniformly continuous. Indeed, since range of p is $R(p) = (0, 4]$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by Kronecker's approximation theorem [14, p. 436, (d)] and continuity, there is $t_n > 0$ such that $p(t_n) = \frac{1}{n\pi}$. Choose t_n' nearest point to t_n with $p(t_n') = \frac{1}{(n-\frac{1}{2})\pi}$. We have $|t_n - t_n'| \le \mu(E^{t_n}_{(n-\frac{1}{2})\pi}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Since $|\phi(t_n') - \phi(t_n)| = 1$, we get ϕ is not uniformly continuous. It follows $\phi \notin AP$.

Finally, we show that ϕ is B-aa. Indeed, since $(\cos t, \cos\sqrt{2}t)$ is almost periodic, for $(t_{n'}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ there are α, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and a subsequence (t_n) such that

$$\cos(t + t_n) \to \cos(t+\alpha),\ \cos(\sqrt{2}(t+t_n)) \to \cos(\sqrt{2}(t+\beta)), \tag{3.3}$$

$$p(t+t_n) \to (2 + \cos(t+\alpha) + \cos(\sqrt{2}(t+\beta))) =: q(t), \text{ uniformly in } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since q is entire, $C := \{s \in \mathbb{R} : q(s) = 0\}$ is at most countable. So, there is a (diagonal) subsequence (s_n) and $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to [-1, 1]$ with $\phi(t+s_n) = \sin\frac{1}{p(t+s_n)} \to \psi(t)$ pointwise for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, (3.3) implies $q(t - s_n) \to p(t)$, $p(t + s_m - s_n) \to q(t - s_n)$ and then $p(t + s_m - s_n) \to p(t)$ as $(n, m) \to \infty$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This yields $\phi(t+s_m-s_n) \to \phi(t)$ as $(n, m) \to \infty$ pointwise in $t \in \mathbb{R}$; $m \to \infty$ and the definition of ψ give therefore $\psi(t - s_n) \to \phi(t)$. By definition 2 of [12], ϕ is B-aa.

See also [17, pp. 212-213] for another proof that $\phi \in S^1\text{-}AP$ but $\phi \notin AP$; and [7, Example 3.3] that ϕ ia B-aa. □

Example 3.2. *There is $\psi \in BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ which is not ap or B-aa or V-aa or recurrent or uniformly continuous (not even Poisson stable (see (3.1), (3.2) respectively Example 3.1), also $\Delta_1\psi(\cdot) := \psi(\cdot+1) - \psi(\cdot)$ and so ψ are not Stepanoff S^1-almost periodic), but $P\psi(t) := \int_0^t \psi(s)\, ds$ is almost periodic and so $sp_{AP}(\psi) = sp_{BAA}(\psi) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Take $\psi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n$, h_n periodic with period 2^{n+1},

$$h_n(t) = 0, \quad t \in [-2^n, 2^n - 1], \qquad h_n(t) = \sin(2^n \pi t), \quad t \in [2^n - 1, 2^n] =: I_n.$$

One has $\operatorname{supp} h_n = I_n + 2^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}$ and for each $n \neq m$, $\operatorname{supp} h_n \cap \operatorname{supp} h_m = \emptyset$: The right endpoints of the translations of I_n are all even, and if $n = m + k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $2^n + 2^{n+1}u = 2^m + 2^{m+1}v$ implies $2^k(1 + 2u) = (1 + 2v)$ and then $k = 0$, $u = v$. It follows $\psi \in BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and with $I = [-2, 0]$ for each $\tau \geq 2$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(3.4) \qquad \sup_{\ t \in I} |\psi(t + \tau) - \psi(t)| = \sup_{\ t \in I} |\psi(t + \tau)| \geq \sup_{\ t \in I} |h_r(t + \tau)|.$$

Since $\int_{I_n} h_n(t)\,dt = 0$, Ph_n is periodic with period 2^{n+1} and $||Ph_n||_\infty \leq 2^{-n}$. It follows $P\psi \in AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. This implies $M_h\psi \in AP$ for $h > 0$, and so $sp_{BAA}(\psi) \subset sp_{AP}(\psi) = \emptyset$ by Prop. 1.1.

With $\delta = 2^{-n}$ one has

$$\int_{I_n} |\Delta_1\psi(t+\delta) - \Delta_1\psi(t)|\,dt \geq \int_{I_n} |\psi(t+\delta) - \psi(t)|dt - \int_{I_n} |\psi(t+1+\delta) - \psi(t+1)|dt =$$

$$\int_{I_n} |h_n(t + \delta) - h_n(t)|dt - \int_{I_n} |\psi(t + 1 + \delta)|dt \geq 2/\pi - 2^{-n}\ , > 0.1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It follows $\Delta_1\psi$ and so ψ are not uniformly continuous even in the S^p-norm (see [4, p. 132] for the definition). Hence $\psi, \Delta_1\psi$ are not almost periodic and not S^1-almost periodic.

Since to each even $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist unique $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $n = (1 + 2k)2^m$, we get

$$(3.5) \qquad n = 2^m + k2^{m+1}.$$

We show that for each $\tau \geq 2$ there is $r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\sup_{\ t \in I} |h_r(t + \tau)| = 1$. Indeed, let $\tau \in 2\mathbb{N} + y$ for some $y \in [0, 2]$. Then by (3.5), since $2n + y = 2(n + 1) + y'$ with $y' = y - 2$

$\tau = 2^m + k2^{m+1} + y$ for unique $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $y \in [0, \frac{3}{2}]$ or

$\tau = 2^{m'} + k'2^{m'+1} + y'$ for unique $m' \in \mathbb{N}$, $k' \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $y' \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$.

With $t = -y - 2^{-m-1}$ respectively $t = -y' - 2 + 2^{-m'-1}$ we get

$\sup_{\ t \in I} |h_m(t + 2^m + k2^{m+1} + y)| = 1$ for each $y \in [0, \frac{3}{2}]$,

$\sup_{\ t \in I} |h_{m'}(t + k'2^{m'+1} + 2^{m'} + y')| = 1$ for each $y' \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$.

By (3.4), it follows $\sup_{\ t \in I} |\psi(t + \tau) - \psi(t)| \geq 1$ for all $\tau \geq 2$. Since B-aa and V-aa functions are always recurrent, we conclude ψ is not B-aa or V-aa or recurrent or Poisson stable by the definitions (3.1), (3.2). $\square$

§4. Reduced spectrum of solutions of evolution equations

In this section we study the reduced spectrum with respect to a class $\mathcal{F} \subset L^1_{loc}(\tilde{J}, \mathbb{X})$ of bounded solutions of evolution equations

(4.1) $\frac{du(t)}{dt} = Au(t) + \phi(t)$, $u(0) = x \in \mathbb{X}$, $t \in J$,

where A is a closed linear operator on $\mathbb{X}$ and $\phi \in L^\infty(J, \mathbb{X})$.

The half-line (Laplace) spectrum denoted by $sp_L(\psi)$ for $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{X})$ is introduced in [1, p. 275]. If $\mathcal{F} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, (X))$ satisfies (1.4), then $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\psi) \subset sp_w(\psi) \subset sp_L(\psi)$, by [9, (3.12), (3.14)]. Here $sp_w(\psi)$ is the weak half-line (Laplace) spectrum [1, Definition 4.9.1, p. 324]. The reduced spectrum and the half-line spectrum of solutions of (4.1) when $u, \phi \in BUC(J, \mathbb{X})$ have been investigated by many authors see for example [3], [1, sections 4, 5] and lists of references there. In this section we prove inclusions (4.5), (4.6) for (4.1) which are known for the half-line spectrum of solutions of (4.1) in the case $u, \phi \in BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{X})$, see [1, Proposition 5.6.7 b), p. 380].

Definition 4.1 (see [1, p. 120, 121, 380]). *A function $u \in C(J, \mathbb{X})$ is called a mild solution of (4.1) if $\int_0^t u(s)\,ds \in D(A)$, $x \in \mathbb{X}$ and $u(t) - x = A\int_0^t u(s)\,ds + \int_0^t \phi(s)\,ds$, $t \in J$.*

Proposition 4.2. *Let $u \in BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ be a mild solution of (4.1), $J = \mathbb{R}$, with $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$. If $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then*

$v = u * (g^{(n)}) = (u * g)^{(n)}$ is a classical and so a mild solution of

(4.2) $\frac{dv(t)}{dt} = Av(t) + \phi * g^{(n)}(t)$, $v(0) = u * g^{(n)}(0)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Moreover, if $\mathcal{F} \subset L^1_{loc}(\tilde{J}, \mathbb{X})$ satisfies (1.4), (1.5) and supp $\hat{g} \cap sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi) = \emptyset$, then

(4.3) $i\, sp_{\mathcal{F}}(v) \subset \sigma(A) \cap i\,\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We have $P(u*g)(\tau) = ((Pu)*g)(\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}}(\int_0^\tau u(t-s)\,dt)g(s)\,ds$, by Fubini's Theorem [1, Theorem 1.1.9, p. 12]. Since $D(A)$ is linear, Definition 4.1 gives $g(s)\int_0^\tau u(t-s)\,dt \in D(A)$ for each $\tau, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $A\int_0^\tau u(t-s)\,dt$ is with Definition 4.1 s-continuous and $O(|s|)$, one gets $(A\int_0^\tau u(t-s)\,dt)g(s)$ is Bochner integrable on $\mathbb{R}$ for each $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. By (1.15), it follows

$$AP(u*g)(\tau) = ((APu)*g)(\tau) - ((APu)*g)(0). \tag{4.4}$$

This and Definition 4.1 for u proves that $v = u*g$ is a mild solution of (4.2) for the case $n = 0$. Since $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, $v = u*g$, $\phi*g$ are infinitely differentiable, with $(u*g)' = u*(g')$. It follows that v is a classical solution by an extension of [1, Proposition 3.1.15, p. 120] to $\mathbb{R}$. This means $v*g(t) \in D(A)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $g^{(n)} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, (4.2) follows.

If supp $\hat{g} \cap sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi) = \emptyset$, then $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi*g) = \emptyset$, by (1.8). Since $\phi*g \in BUC(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{X})$, we conclude $\phi*g|\,\tilde{J} \in \mathcal{F}$ by [4, Corollary 2.3(A)]. By the above, we have $v = u*g \in BUC(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{X})$ is a classical solution of (4.2) satisfying $v(0), v'(0) \in D(A)$. The assumptions imply that $\mathcal{F} \cap BUC(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{X})$ is a Λ-class satisfying [3, (l_1)-(l_5)]. The inclusion (4.3) follows by [3, Theorem 3.3 (valid also for A only closed)]. $\square$

Theorem 4.3. *Let* $\mathcal{F} \subset L^1_{loc}(\tilde{J},\mathbb{X})$ *satisfy (1.4), (1.5) and let* $\phi \in L^\infty(J,\mathbb{X})$. *If* $u \in BC(J,\mathbb{X})$ *is a mild solution of (4.1), then*

$$i\,sp_{\mathcal{F}}(u) \subset ((\sigma(A) \cap i\,\mathbb{R}) \cup isp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi)). \tag{4.5}$$

If moreover $\phi \in \mathcal{MF}$, *then*

$$i\,sp_{\mathcal{F}}(u) \subset \sigma(A) \cap i\,\mathbb{R}. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. First we prove the case $J = \mathbb{R}$. Let $u \in BC(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{X})$ be a mild solution of equation (4.1). Let $\rho(A)$ be the resolvent set of A and let $i\lambda_0 \in U = (\rho(A) \cap i\mathbb{R}) \cap (i\mathbb{R} \setminus isp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi))$. Since U is an open set, there is $1 > \delta > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $i(\lambda_0-\delta,\lambda_0+\delta) \subset U$ and supp $\hat{f} \subset (\lambda_0-\delta,\lambda_0+\delta)$. It follows supp $\hat{f} \cap sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi) = \emptyset$. By (4.3) and (1.8), we have $isp_{\mathcal{F}}(u*f) \subset \sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R}$, $isp_{\mathcal{F}}(u*f) \subset i(\lambda_0-\delta,\lambda_0+\delta)$. As

$i(\lambda_0-\delta,\lambda_0+\delta)\cap(\sigma(A)\cap i\mathbb{R})=\emptyset$, we get $isp_{\mathcal{F}}(u*f)=\emptyset$. Since $u*f\in BUC(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{X})$, we conclude $u*f|\,J\in\mathcal{F}$ by [4, Corollary 2.3 (A)], and so $i\lambda_0\notin sp_{\mathcal{F}}(u)$. This proves (4.5). If $\phi\in\mathcal{MF}$, then $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi)=\emptyset$ by Proposition 1.1. This and (4.5) give (4.6).

The case $J=\mathbb{R}_+$. Let $u\in BC(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{X})$ be a mild solution of equation (4.1) and let $k,h>0$. With [1, Proposition 3.1.15 p. 120] for M_hu, one can show that $v_{k,h}=M_kM_hu$ is a classical solution of

(4.7) $\frac{dv(t)}{dt}=Av(t)+M_kM_h\phi(t)$, $v(0)=M_kM_hv(0)$, $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$ with

(4.8) $v'_{k,h}(0)\in D(A)$.

Moreover, $v_{k,h},\psi_{k,h}=M_kM_h\phi\in BUC(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{X})$. Let $V_{k,h},\Psi_{k,h}\in BUC(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{X})$ be C^1-extensions of $v_{k,h},\psi_{k,h}$ satisfying

$$\frac{dV(t)}{dt}=AV(t)+\Psi(t),\ V_{k,h}(0)=M_kM_hv(0),\ t\in\mathbb{R}.$$

This is possible by [3, Lemma 3.2 (i)] and (4.8). Applying the case $J=\mathbb{R}$, we get

(4.9) $i\,sp_{\mathcal{F}}(V_{k,h})\subset((\sigma(A)\cap i\,\mathbb{R})\cup isp_{\mathcal{F}}(\Psi_{k,h}))$.

Using (1.6), [9, Lemma 4.2, (4.1), (3.11)] by (1.8) and (4.9), with Φ as defined in (1.6) we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}&i\,sp_{\mathcal{F}}(u)=\cup_{k>0,h>0}i\,sp_{\mathcal{F}}(M_kM_hu)=\cup_{k>0,h>0}i\,sp_{\mathcal{F}}(V_{k,h})\subset\\ &\quad\cup_{k>0,h>0}((\sigma(A)\cap\ i\mathbb{R})\cup\ i\,sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\Psi_{h,k}))=(\sigma(A)\cap\ i\mathbb{R})\cup\\ &(\cup_{k>0,h>0}(i\,sp_{\mathcal{F}}(M_hM_k\Phi))=(\sigma(A)\cap\ i\mathbb{R})\cup i\,sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\Phi)=(\sigma(A)\cap\ i\mathbb{R})\cup i\,sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi).\end{aligned}$$

This proves (4.5). Finally, (4.6) follows from (4.5) by the same argument as in the case $J=\mathbb{R}$. $\Box$

In the following example we consider the case $\mathbb{X}=\mathbb{C}$ and $A:\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}$ defined by $Ac=ic$. We have $\sigma(A)=\{i\}$. Example 4.4 (ii) shows that the condition $\phi\in\mathcal{MF}$ in Theorem 4.3 (ii) can not be replaced by $\phi\in\mathcal{F}$. Also, it supports the suspicion that (4.5) might be trivial without the condition $\mathcal{F}\subset\mathcal{MF}$. Example 4.4 (ii) shows also that (1.4), (1.5) do not imply $\mathcal{F}\subset\mathcal{MF}$. Example 4.4 (iii), (iv) show that (4.6) can be valid though the conditions (1.5) (i), (ii) are not satisfied. One can optimize conditions (1.5) (i), (ii) using [9, Theorem 4.3].

Example 4.4. *All solutions of the equation* $y'(t) = iy(t) + \mathfrak{g}(t)$ *are given by*

$y(t) = e^{it}(c + \int_0^t e^{-is}\mathfrak{g}(s)\,ds) =: \gamma_1(t)(c + y_1(t))$, *where* $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

(i) If $\mathcal{F} = \mathfrak{g} \cdot AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$, *then* $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{R}$ *and so (4.5) is trivially satisfied.*

(ii) If $\mathcal{F} = \mathfrak{g} \cdot AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \oplus AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$, *then* $\mathcal{F}$ *satisfies (1.4), (1.5) but* $sp_0(\mathfrak{g}) = sp_{\mathcal{F}}(y) = \mathbb{R}$ *and so (4.6) is not satisfied.*

(iii) If $\mathcal{F} = (\mathfrak{g} \cdot AP(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})) \oplus (C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}) \oplus (\gamma_1 \cdot \mathbb{C}))$, *then* $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{MF}$, $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \emptyset$ *and* $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(y) = \emptyset$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

(iv) If $\mathcal{F} = (\mathfrak{g} \cdot AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})) \oplus (\gamma_1 \cdot C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{C}))$, *then* $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathfrak{g}) = sp_{\mathcal{F}}(y) = \emptyset$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Here $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{C}) = \{\phi \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) : \lim_{t\to\infty} \phi(t), \lim_{t\to-\infty} \phi(t)$ *exist*$\}$.

Proof. (i) we have $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{F} = \mathfrak{g} \cdot AP$ but $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathfrak{g}) = sp_{\mathcal{F}\cap BUC(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})}(\mathfrak{g}) = sp_0(\mathfrak{g}) = \operatorname{supp} \hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathbb{R}$ (see [4, (1.3)], [9, (3.3)], [10, Example 2.2]). It follows (4.5) is trivially satisfied.

(ii) $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies (1.4), (1.5), but we omit the proof that $\mathcal{F}$ is uniformly closed. We have $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{F}$ but $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathfrak{g}) = sp_{\mathcal{F}\cap BUC(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})}(\mathfrak{g}) = sp_{AP}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{R}$ since $\mathfrak{g} * f \in AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ implies $\mathfrak{g} * f \in AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) = \{0\}$, $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$, and $sp_0(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{R}$.

Now we show that $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(y) = \mathbb{R}$. We have $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(y) = sp_{\mathcal{F}\cap BUC(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})}(y) = sp_{AP}(y)$ and $sp_{AP}(\gamma_1 y_1) \subset sp_{AP}(y) \cup sp_{AP}(-c\gamma_1)$ [2, Theorem 4.1.4]. As $sp_{AP}(-c\gamma_1) = sp_{AP}(\gamma_1) = \emptyset$ ([2, Theorem 4.1.4]), $sp_{AP}(\gamma_1 y_1) = 1 + sp_{AP}(y_1)$ ([2, Theorem 4.1.4]), $sp_{AP}(u') \subset sp_{AP}(u)$ ($u \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$, $u' \in BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$), and $sp_{AP}(y_1') = -1 + sp_{AP}(\mathfrak{g})$, $sp_{AP}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{R}$ shown already, it follows $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(y) = \mathbb{R}$.

(iii) We have y, $\mathfrak{g}|\,\mathbb{R}_+ \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{MF}$. So, $sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathfrak{g}) = sp_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \emptyset$ by Corollary 1.2.

(iv) We have $\mathfrak{g}, y \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{MF}$. The result follows by Corollary 1.2. □

REFERENCES

1. W. Arendt, C.J.K. Batty, M. Hieber and F. Neubrander, *Vector-valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy problems, Monographs in Math., Vol. 96, Basel,Boston, Berlin: Birkhäuser, 2001.*
2. B. Basit, *Some problems concerning different types of vector valued almost periodic functions, Dissertationes Math. 338 (1995), 26 pages.*

3. B. Basit, *Harmonic analysis and asymptotic behavior of solutions to the abstract Cauchy problem, Semigroup Forum 54 (1997), 58-74.*
4. B. Basit and H. Günzler, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions of systems of neutral and convolution equations, J. Differential Equations 149 (1998), 115-142.*
5. B. Basit and H. Günzler, *Generalized almost periodic and ergodic solutions of linear differential equations on the half line in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 282 (2003), 673-697.*
6. Basit, B. and Günzler, H., *Generalized Esclangon-Landau results and applications to linear difference-differential systems in Banach spaces, J. Difference Equations and Applications, Vol. 10, No. 11 (2004), p. 1005-1023.*
7. Basit, B. and Günzler, H., *A difference property for perturbations of vector valued Levitan almost periodic functions and analogues, Russ. J. of Math. Phys., 12 (4) (2005), p. 424-438.*
8. Basit, B. and Günzler, H., *Harmonic Analysis for Generalized Vector Valued Almost Periodic and Ergodic Distributions, Rend. Acc.Naz.Sci.XL, Mem.Mat.Appl.XXIX (2005), 35-54.*
9. B. Basit and H. Günzler, *Relations between different types of spectra and spectral characterizations, Semigroup forum 76 (2008), 217-233.*
10. B. Basit and A. J. Pryde, *Equality of uniform and Carleman spectra for bounded measurable functions, Analysis Paper 122, (2007), 20 pages.*
11. B. Basit and A. J. Pryde, *Weak Laplace and reduced spectra with applications to evolution equations, Analysis Paper 125, (2009), 20 pages..*
12. S. Bochner, *A new approach to almost periodicity, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 48 (1962), 2039 - 2043.*
13. R. Chill and E. Fasangova, *Equality of two spectra arising in harmonic analysis and semigroup theory, Proc. AMS., 130 (2001), 675-681.*
14. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, Abstract Harmonic Analysis I, Springer Verlag ,1963.
15. Nguyen Van Minh, *A spectral theory of continuous functions and the Loomis-Arendt-Batty-Vu theory on the asymptotic behavior of solutions of evolution equations, J. Differential Equations 247 (2009), 1249-1274.*
16. Nguyen Van Minh, *Corringendum to the paper: "A spectral theory of continuous functions and the Loomis-Arendt-Batty-Vu theory on the asymptotic behavior of solutions of evolution equations, J.D.E. 247 (2009), 1249-1274" (submitted to J.D.E.).*
17. B. M. Levitan, *Almost Periodic Functions, Gos. Izdat.tekhn-Theor. Lit. Moscow, 1953.*
18. B. M. Levitan and V. V. Zhikov, *Almost Periodic Functions and Differential Equations, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1982.*
19. W. Veech, *Almost automorphic functions on groups, Amer. J. Math. 87 (1965), 719-751.*

School of Math. Sci., P.O. Box No. 28M, Monash University, Vic. 3800.

E-mail "bolis.basit@sci.monash.edu.au".

Math. Seminar der Univ. Kiel, Ludewig-Meyn-Str., 24098 Kiel, Deutschland.

E-mail "guenzler@math.uni-kiel.de".